## Part II: Traffic Flow Models

## Lecture 05: Macroscopic Traffic Flow Models: General

5.1. Model Overview
-5.2. Macroscopic Quantities for lane-based traffic

- 5.3. Macroscopic Quantities for directed 2d traffic
- 5.4. Traffic Stream Relations
- 5.5. Hydrodynamic Relation
- 5.6. Continuity Equation
-5.7. Eulerian vs. Lagrangian view


### 5.1. Model Overview

## Macroscopic Model

$$
\rho(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})
$$
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$$

- Intensive quantities such as macroscopic speed $V$ or speed variance cannot be added sensibly $\Rightarrow$ no "total" quantity. The lane averaging may also be more tricky.
? Determine $V$ in two ways such that the macroscopic hydrodynamic relation $Q=\rho V$ and $Q^{\text {tot }}=V \rho^{\text {tot }}$ holds. Identify the results with weighted arithmetic and harmonic averages
! First, because the average and total extensive quantities only differ by the lane number $L$, we have $Q / \rho=Q^{\text {tot }} / \rho^{\text {tot }}$. We calculate just the ratio of the total quantities
- $V=\frac{Q^{\text {tot }}}{\rho^{\text {tot }}}=\frac{\sum_{l} \rho_{l} V_{l}}{\rho^{\text {tot }}}=\sum_{l} w_{l \rho} V_{l}, \quad \Rightarrow$ arithmetic average with weighting $w_{l \rho}=\frac{\rho_{l}}{\rho^{\text {tot }}}$
$-V^{-1}=\frac{\rho^{\text {tot }}}{Q^{\text {tot }}}=\frac{\sum_{l} \rho_{l}}{Q^{\text {tot }}}=\frac{\sum_{l} \frac{Q_{l}}{V_{l}}}{Q^{\text {tot }}}=\sum_{l} w_{l Q} \frac{1}{V_{l}}, \quad \Rightarrow$ harmonic average with weighting $w_{l Q}=\frac{Q_{l}}{Q^{\text {tot }}}$


### 5.3. Basic Directed 2d Traffic



## Example II: Hajj in Mekka



## Traffic signs at the Hajj



## Example III: Loveparade



## Example IV: Vasaloppet



## Basic macroscopic 2d quantities



- Density $\rho(x, y, t)=\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ pedestrians per square meter $\left[\mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$
- Flow density $J(x, t), J(x, t)=|J(x, t)|$ pedestrian flow per meter cross section [ped/(ms)]

Essentially, the flow density is the limit of the flow per lane divided by the lane width for a multi-lane road with the lane number going to infinity at constant width $T X: \sum_{l} \rightarrow \int d y$
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- Density $\rho(x, y, t)=\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ pedestrians per square meter [ped $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ]
- Flow density $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), J(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=|\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)|$ pedestrian flow per meter cross section [ped/(ms)],
- Local velocity and speed $\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=\boldsymbol{J} / \rho, V(\boldsymbol{x}, t)=J / \rho[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$.

Essentially, the flow density is the limit of the flow per lane divided by the lane width for a multi-lane road with the lane number going to infinity at constant width $W: \sum_{l} \rightarrow \int \mathrm{~d} y$
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## Effective 1d quantities



- 1d Density $\rho^{\text {1d }}(x, t)=\int_{y=-W / w}^{W / 2} \rho(x, y, t) \mathrm{d} y \approx W \rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ [ped./m]
- Total flow $Q(x, t)=\int_{y=-W / w}^{W / 2} J(x, y, t) \mathrm{d} y \approx W J(\boldsymbol{x}, t)[$ ped $/ \mathrm{s}]$
- Local speed $V(x, t)=Q(x, t) / \rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}(x, t)[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$
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Greenshield's relation: $\quad V(\rho)=V_{0}\left(1-\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\max }}\right)$

The early days of traffic data: Greenshields (1935)
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- The traffic-stream relation $Q(\rho)$ is called the fundamental diagram
- It can be estimated by flow-density data taking care of the systematic errors
? How would the Greenshields fundamental diagram look like? $Q(\rho)=V_{0} \rho\left(1-\frac{\rho}{\rho \max }\right)$


## "Two out of three" relations



Together with the basic relation $Q=\rho V$, a single traffic stream relation fixes all three relations $Q(\rho), V(\rho)$, and $Q(V)$
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? Discuss the model parameters $V_{0}, T$, and $\rho_{\max }$
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## Fundamental diagram for directed 2d traffic

Often, the simplest Greenshields FD for the flow density $J$ as a function of the Rd density $\rho$ is not too bad (only for fast pedestrians such as runners in sporting events, an asymmetric triangular fundamental diagram is better):

$$
J(\rho)=V_{0} \rho\left(1-\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\max }}\right)
$$

Going from Rd to effective Id:

Assume a square grid for the pedestrian positions: longitudinal distance $\Delta x_{i}=$ lateral "lane width" $\Delta W=\sqrt{1 / \rho}$ :

- several "single files" in parallel of width $\Delta W$
- 1d-density of a single file: $\rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}=\rho \Delta W=\sqrt{\rho}$
- 1d-flow of this single file: $Q=J \Delta W=J / \sqrt{\rho}=J / \rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}$
- 1d-FD

$$
Q\left(\rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}\right)=J\left(\left(\rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}\right) / \rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}=V_{0} \rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}\left(1-\frac{\left(\rho^{1 \mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\rho_{\max }^{1 \mathrm{~d}}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\rho_{\text {max }}^{1 \mathrm{~d}}=\sqrt{\rho_{\text {max }}}$

Fundamental diagram for directed 2d traffic



Discuss the differences of the two FDs
Give the capacity of a 30 m wide approach corridor assuming unidirectional pedestrian traffic flow and a Greenshields FD with parameters $V_{0}=1.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ anc $\rho_{\max }=5 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (see the left image)

Fundamental diagram for directed 2d traffic


? Discuss the differences of the two FDs

> Give the capacity of a 30 m wide approach corridor assuming unidirectional pedestrian traffic flow and a Greenshields FD with parameters $V_{0}=1.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\rho_{\max }=5 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (see the left image)

## Fundamental diagram for directed 2d traffic



? Discuss the differences of the two FDs
? Give the capacity of a 30 m wide approach corridor assuming unidirectional pedestrian traffic flow and a Greenshields FD with parameters $V_{0}=1.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\rho_{\text {max }}=5 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (see the left image)

## Fundamental diagram for directed 2d traffic



? Discuss the differences of the two FDs
? Give the capacity of a 30 m wide approach corridor assuming unidirectional pedestrian traffic flow and a Greenshields FD with parameters $V_{0}=1.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\rho_{\text {max }}=5 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (see the left image)
! Specific capacity $J_{\max }=V_{0} \rho_{\max } / 4=1.5 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$, capacity $Q_{\max }=W J_{\max }=45 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{s}$ or about 160000 pedestrians per hour.

## Weidmann FD



The popular Weidmann FD can be derived from microscopic social-force pedestrian flow models ( $\rightarrow$ Lecture 11). Its speed-density traffic stream relation reads (with the published parameter $\lambda=-1.913 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ and the same $V_{0}$ and $\rho_{\max }$ )

$$
J(\rho)=\rho V(\rho), \quad V(\rho)=V_{0}\left\{1-\exp \left[-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\rho}-\frac{1}{\rho_{\max }}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

In contrast to the greenshields FD, it is not symmetric

### 5.5. Hydrodynamic relation
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- Number of vehicles in blue-green box: $n \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \rho \Delta x$
- Number of vehicles having passed $x_{0}$ during $\Delta t$ :
$n \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} Q \Delta t$
- hydrodynamic relation:
$n=\rho \Delta x=Q \Delta t \Rightarrow$ $\frac{Q}{\rho}=\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} V$
$Q=\rho V$ hydrodynamic relation
? Give the form for unidirectional 2d traffic. $J=\rho V$


### 5.6. Continuity Equation



The continuity equation just reflects vehicle/pedestrian conservation and is therefore always valid

Continuity equation along a homogeneous road

## Q in
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## $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {in }}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} n}{\mathrm{~d} t}=Q_{\text {in }}-Q_{\text {out }}=Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(x, t)-Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(x+\Delta x, t) \approx-\frac{\partial Q^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial x} \Delta x \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} n}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int \rho^{\text {tot }} \mathrm{d} x\right) \approx \frac{\partial \rho^{\text {tot }}}{\partial t} \Delta x
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Total quantities:

$$
\frac{\partial \rho^{\text {tot }}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q^{\text {tot }}}{\partial x}=0
$$

Effective quantities:

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}=0
$$

Why is this continuity equation not valid for the lane quantities $\rho_{l}, Q_{l}, V_{l}$ ?
Because there are source terms due to lane changing

## Continuity equation at ramp sections
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$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} n}{\mathrm{~d} t}=Q_{\mathrm{in}}-Q_{\mathrm{out}}+Q_{\mathrm{rmp}}=Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(x, t)-Q^{\mathrm{tot}}\left(x+L_{\mathrm{rmp}}, t\right)+Q_{\mathrm{rmp}}
$$
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- The source terms of the ramp and lane-closing scenarios can be added
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## Continuity equation at changes of the lane number



- Variable effective lane number $L(x)$, here from $L=3 \rightarrow 2$ along the merging zone of one or a few hundred meters:
- For the total quantities, the homogeneous continuity equation applies (why?):
$\frac{\partial \rho^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial x}=0$
- For the effective quantities, we get

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}=-\frac{Q}{L} \frac{\mathrm{~d} L}{\mathrm{~d} x}
$$

- The source terms of the ramp and lane-closing scenarios can be added
? Why use the more complicated effective continuity equation?
? Try to understand the lane-closing source in terms of the on-ramp source (and the lane opening in terms of an off-ramp)


### 5.7. Coordinate Systems: Eulerian (Fixed Observer's) vs. Lagrangian (Driver's) View



Continuity equation from the floating car (driver's) perspective:
$\rightarrow$ Change of density: $\Delta \rho \approx\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right) \Delta t$ from the driver's perspective leads to the total or convective time derivative:

- Continuity equation in terms of the total derivative:
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- Change of density: $\Delta \rho \approx\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right) \Delta t$ from the driver's perspective leads to the total or convective time derivative: $\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V(x, t) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}$
- Continuity equation in terms of the total derivative: $\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}=-\rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$.


### 5.7. Coordinate Systems: Eulerian (Fixed Observer's) vs. Lagrangian (Driver's) View



Continuity equation from the floating car (driver's) perspective:

- Change of density: $\Delta \rho \approx\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right) \Delta t$ from the driver's perspective leads to the total or convective time derivative: $\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V(x, t) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}$
- Continuity equation in terms of the total derivative: $\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}=-\rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$. Try to understand this intuitively!

Homogeneous, stationary, and steady state


## Homogeneous, stationary, and steady state


$\Rightarrow$ Traffic flow is in the homogeneous steady state if $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=\frac{\mathrm{d} F}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0$. This is assumed when formulating/deriving the fundamental diagram
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- Traffic flow is homogeneous if $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=0$ where $F=\rho(x, t)$, $V(x, t)$, or any other macroscopic field as a function of $x$ and $t$
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- Traffic flow is homogeneous if $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=0$ where $F=\rho(x, t)$, $V(x, t)$, or any other macroscopic field as a function of $x$ and $t$
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Watch out: stationary != standing!
- Traffic flow is in the homogeneous steady state if $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}=\frac{\mathrm{d} F}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0$. This is assumed when formulating/deriving the fundamental diagram
? Give examples of stationary nonhomogeneous and nonstationary homogeneous states


## Going Lagrangian I
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comoving coordinate system

- Advantage: homogeneous systems become easier to describe since the convective term is eliminated
$\rightarrow$ Disadvantage: inhomogeneous systems become more complicated since ramps and other infrastructure stuff are moving
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comoving coordinate system

- Advantage: homogeneous systems become easier to describe since the convective term is eliminated
- Disadvantage: inhomogeneous systems become more complicated since ramps and other infrastructure stuff are moving

Going Lagrangian II



- Independent variable $t$ : unchanged

- Independent variable $t$ : unchanged
- independent variable $x: \rightarrow$ real-valued vehicle index $n$ (first vehicle has lowest index):

- Independent variable $t$ : unchanged
- independent variable $x: \rightarrow$ real-valued vehicle index $n$ (first vehicle has lowest index):
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x \rightarrow n(x, t)=-\int_{0}^{x} \rho\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}+
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- Independent variable $t$ : unchanged
- independent variable $x: \rightarrow$ real-valued vehicle index $n$ (first vehicle has lowest index):
$x \rightarrow n(x, t)=-\int_{0}^{x} \rho\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}$

- Independent variable $t$ : unchanged
- independent variable $x: \rightarrow$ real-valued vehicle index $n$ (first vehicle has lowest index): $x \rightarrow n(x, t)=-\int_{0}^{x} \rho\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}$
- dependent variables speed $V(x, t) \rightarrow v(n, t)$

- Independent variable $t$ : unchanged
- independent variable $x$ : $\rightarrow$ real-valued vehicle index $n$ (first vehicle has lowest index): $x \rightarrow n(x, t)=-\int_{0}^{x} \rho\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}+\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(x, t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}$
- dependent variables speed $V(x, t) \rightarrow v(n, t)$
- dependent variables density becomes distance headway field $\rho(x, t) \rightarrow 1 / h(n, t)$ (name it $h$ instead of $d$ to avoid confusion with differential operators)


## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: derivation

- Lagrangian variables: $\rho(x, t)=\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}, \quad V(x, t)=v(n(x, t), t)$
- The definitions of flow and density directly give
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- Lagrangian variables: $\rho(x, t)=\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}, \quad V(x, t)=v(n(x, t), t)$
- The definitions of flow and density directly give

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=Q=\rho V, \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}=-\rho, \quad h=\frac{1}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
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## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: derivation

- Lagrangian variables: $\rho(x, t)=\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}, \quad V(x, t)=v(n(x, t), t)$
- The definitions of flow and density directly give

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=Q=\rho V, \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}=-\rho, \quad h=\frac{1}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
$$

- Transform the continuity equation (from the driver's view):

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left[\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}\right]-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: derivation

- Lagrangian variables: $\rho(x, t)=\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}, \quad V(x, t)=v(n(x, t), t)$
- The definitions of flow and density directly give

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=Q=\rho V, \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}=-\rho, \quad h=\frac{1}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
$$

- Transform the continuity equation (from the driver's view):

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
0 & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\
= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left[\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}\right]-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
= & -\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}\right)-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
& -\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\rho V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}-\rho V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}\right)-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}
\end{array}
$$

## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: derivation

- Lagrangian variables: $\rho(x, t)=\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}, \quad V(x, t)=v(n(x, t), t)$
- The definitions of flow and density directly give

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=Q=\rho V, \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}=-\rho, \quad h=\frac{1}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
$$

- Transform the continuity equation (from the driver's view):
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& = & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left[\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}\right]-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
& = & -\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}\right)-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
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## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: derivation

- Lagrangian variables: $\rho(x, t)=\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}, \quad V(x, t)=v(n(x, t), t)$
- The definitions of flow and density directly give

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=Q=\rho V, \quad \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}=-\rho, \quad h=\frac{1}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=-\frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
$$

- Transform the continuity equation (from the driver's view):

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
0 & = & \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\
& = & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left[\frac{1}{h(n(x, t), t)}\right]-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
& = & -\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}\right)-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}=\rho V, \frac{\partial n}{\partial x}=-\rho & -\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\rho V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}+\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}-\rho V \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}\right)-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \\
& = & -\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: result

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0 \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Lagrange form } \\
& \text { of the continuity equation }
\end{aligned}
$$

- This result is plausible by integrating the second term over one unit of the index variable (because $n$ is dimensionless, the lhs. is multiplied by one)
$h$ increases at a rate of the relative speed leader-follower.
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- This result is plausible by integrating the second term over one unit of the index variable (because $n$ is dimensionless, the Ihs. is multiplied by one):

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+v(n+1, t)-v(n, t)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}=v_{\text {lead }}-v
$$

$h$ increases at a rate of the relative speed leader-follower.
Why is the Lagrange form less efficient if there are bottlenecks?
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## Lagrange Continuity equation for homogeneous roads: result

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0 \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Lagrange form } \\
& \text { of the continuity equation }
\end{aligned}
$$

- This result is plausible by integrating the second term over one unit of the index variable (because $n$ is dimensionless, the Ihs. is multiplied by one):

$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}+v(n+1, t)-v(n, t)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}=v_{\text {lead }}-v
$$

$h$ increases at a rate of the relative speed leader-follower.
? Why is the Lagrange form less efficient if there are bottlenecks?
Because bottlenecks are moving in this view

## Problems

? Using the continuity equation, show that the total number of vehicles on a closed ring road with varying number of lanes $L(x)$ (but no on- or off-ramps) never changes.

How can we model the common behavior of drivers merging early onto the highway if there is free traffic and merging late (near the end of the ramn) in congested conditions?
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? Using the continuity equation, show that the total number of vehicles on a closed ring road with varying number of lanes $L(x)$ (but no on- or off-ramps) never changes.
! Integrate continuity equation for the total quantities over the circumference $L$ :
$\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial \rho^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial t}\right)=-\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Q^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial x}\right)=Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(L)-Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(0)=0$
How can we model the common behavior of drivers merging early onto the highway if there is free traffic and merging late (near the end of the ramp) in congested conditions?
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! Integrate continuity equation for the total quantities over the circumference $L$ :
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$\qquad$ a constant per-lane demand $Q(x, 0)$ and (iii) homogeneous road, (ii) ramps, (iii) a variable number of lanes.

## Problems

? Using the continuity equation, show that the total number of vehicles on a closed ring road with varying number of lanes $L(x)$ (but no on- or off-ramps) never changes.
! Integrate continuity equation for the total quantities over the circumference $L$ :
$\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial \rho^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial t}\right)=-\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Q^{\mathrm{tot}}}{\partial x}\right)=Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(L)-Q^{\mathrm{tot}}(0)=0$
? How can we model the common behavior of drivers merging early onto the highway if there is free traffic and merging late (near the end of the ramp) in congested conditions?
! Change the constant ramp term $Q_{\mathrm{rmp}} / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=w Q_{\mathrm{rmp}}$ with $w=1 / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=$ const. to a variable $w(x)$ normalized to $\int_{0}^{L r m p} w(x)=1$
Use the continuity equation to determine the traffic flow $Q(x)$ in a stationary state assuming a constant per-lane demand $Q(x, 0)$ and (iii) homogeneous road, (ii) ramps, (iii) a variable number of lanes.

## Problems

? Using the continuity equation, show that the total number of vehicles on a closed ring road with varying number of lanes $L(x)$ (but no on- or off-ramps) never changes.
! Integrate continuity equation for the total quantities over the circumference $L$ :
$\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial \rho^{\text {tot }}}{\partial t}\right)=-\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Q^{\text {tot }}}{\partial x}\right)=Q^{\text {tot }}(L)-Q^{\text {tot }}(0)=0$
? How can we model the common behavior of drivers merging early onto the highway if there is free traffic and merging late (near the end of the ramp) in congested conditions?
! Change the constant ramp term $Q_{\mathrm{rmp}} / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=w Q_{\mathrm{rmp}}$ with $w=1 / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=$ const. to a variable $w(x)$ normalized to $\int_{0}^{L r m p} w(x)=1$
? Use the continuity equation to determine the traffic flow $Q(x)$ in a stationary state assuming a constant per-lane demand $Q(x, 0)$ and (iii) homogeneous road, (ii) ramps, (iii) a variable number of lanes.
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## Problems

? Using the continuity equation, show that the total number of vehicles on a closed ring road with varying number of lanes $L(x)$ (but no on- or off-ramps) never changes.
! Integrate continuity equation for the total quantities over the circumference $L$ :
$\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial \rho^{\text {tot }}}{\partial t}\right)=-\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Q^{\text {tot }}}{\partial x}\right)=Q^{\text {tot }}(L)-Q^{\text {tot }}(0)=0$
? How can we model the common behavior of drivers merging early onto the highway if there is free traffic and merging late (near the end of the ramp) in congested conditions?
! Change the constant ramp term $Q_{\mathrm{rmp}} / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=w Q_{\mathrm{rmp}}$ with $w=1 / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=$ const. to a variable $w(x)$ normalized to $\int_{0}^{L r m p} w(x)=1$
? Use the continuity equation to determine the traffic flow $Q(x)$ in a stationary state assuming a constant per-lane demand $Q(x, 0)$ and (iii) homogeneous road, (ii) ramps, (iii) a variable number of lanes.
! Stationarity means $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=0$, so integrate over $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$ plus source terms
Consider a three-to-two lane closing and a constant inflow $Q_{\text {in }}=Q^{\text {tot }}(0, t)=3600 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ Find the average per-lane density $\rho(x)$ and the average flow $Q$ with respect to the two continuous lanes assuming a density-independent vehicle speed of $108 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (i.e., capacity $Q_{\max }>1800$ veh/h/lane) and a merging zone of length $L=500 \mathrm{~m}$. Compare with a continuous two-lane road with an on-ramp.

## Problems

? Using the continuity equation, show that the total number of vehicles on a closed ring road with varying number of lanes $L(x)$ (but no on- or off-ramps) never changes.
! Integrate continuity equation for the total quantities over the circumference $L$ :
$\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial \rho^{\text {tot }}}{\partial t}\right)=-\int_{x=0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial Q^{\text {tot }}}{\partial x}\right)=Q^{\text {tot }}(L)-Q^{\text {tot }}(0)=0$
? How can we model the common behavior of drivers merging early onto the highway if there is free traffic and merging late (near the end of the ramp) in congested conditions?
! Change the constant ramp term $Q_{\mathrm{rmp}} / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=w Q_{\mathrm{rmp}}$ with $w=1 / L_{\mathrm{rmp}}=$ const. to a variable $w(x)$ normalized to $\int_{0}^{L r m p} w(x)=1$
? Use the continuity equation to determine the traffic flow $Q(x)$ in a stationary state assuming a constant per-lane demand $Q(x, 0)$ and (iii) homogeneous road, (ii) ramps, (iii) a variable number of lanes.
! Stationarity means $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=0$, so integrate over $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$ plus source terms
? Consider a three-to-two lane closing and a constant inflow $Q_{\text {in }}=Q^{\text {tot }}(0, t)=3600 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$. Find the average per-lane density $\rho(x)$ and the average flow $Q$ with respect to the two continuous lanes assuming a density-independent vehicle speed of $108 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (i.e., capacity $Q_{\max }>1800 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h} /$ lane) and a merging zone of length $L=500 \mathrm{~m}$. Compare with a continuous two-lane road with an on-ramp. Homework


[^0]:    - Transform the continuity equation (from the driver's view):

